UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,591	04/12/2004	Jack L. Leonard	07917-103002 2866		
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 02/27/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER .			
P.O. BOX 1022	2	KEMMERER, ELIZABETH			
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1646		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No. Applic		Applicant(s)	licant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/822,591	l	LEONARD ET AL.				
			Examiner	_	Art Unit				
		L	. Kemmerer, Ph.D.	1646					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 .la</i>	anuary 2007						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition	•			secution as to the	e merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-45</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	s)								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/o	r election re	guirement.					
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evamina	-						
•	•			l or h)□ objected to t	v the Evaminer				
10/63	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
			-	-		ED 1 121/d\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/04</u> .			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-17, in the reply filed on 08 January 2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 18-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 08 January 2007.

Specification

The status of the U.S. Patent Application referred to in the first paragraph of the specification should be updated.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See pp. 7 and 8, for example. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the elected invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is

Art Unit: 1646

suggested: Methods of identifying modulators of $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ thyroid hormone receptor activity.

Claims

Claims 11-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims do not end in a period. Appropriate correction is required.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-17 are directed to methods of identifying a candidate compound that modulates $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide activity comprising contacting a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide with a test compound and assaying for binding or activity. The specification indicates that a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide was synthesized by cell-free translation of appropriate segments of the rat $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ cDNA. However, the specification does not indicate what the appropriate segments were. Also, the term "a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide" as recited in the claims

encompasses far more than just rat $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide. For example, $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptides from other mammalian species, non-mammalian species, and artificially generated $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptides are encompassed by the claims. It is noted that $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptides are essential to the claimed invention, since the assay cannot be carried out without a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide.

A genus claim may be supported by a representative number of species as set forth in *Regents of the University of California v Eli Lilly & Co,* 119F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997), which states:

"To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention". Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1980) ("[T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed.") Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." Lockwood, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

In the instant case, this standard has not been met, since not a single structure of a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide has been clearly disclosed.

Additionally, Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that

Art Unit: 1646

"applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

In the instant case, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, the claims fail to meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure enables one skilled in the art to make and use the claimed invention in its full scope without resorting to undue experimentation include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary; (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (3) the presence or absence of working examples; (4) the nature or complexity of the invention; (5) the state of the prior art; (6) the relative skill of those in the art; (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; and (8) the breadth of the claims. See *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d. 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

As discussed above, the claims are very broad in that they encompass methods of identifying a candidate compound that modulates the activity of $any \Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide comprising contacting $any \Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide with a test compound and assaying for binding or activity. Furthermore, none of the claims require that the assay be conducted in cells.

A great deal of experimentation is required, given that the specification does not provide clear guidance regarding how to make any $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide which is required to practice the claimed methods. Also, there is no guidance regarding how to perform an assay on a receptor separate from the cell in which it is expressed. No working examples are provided.

Art Unit: 1646

Finally, the state of the prior art provides a structure of a human form of $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide (Chassande et al., 1997, Mol. Endocrinol. 11:1278-1290), but it is not clear if the instant specification's concept of a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide corresponds to the prior art polypeptide of the same name.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to make $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptides for use in the claimed methods and determine how to practice the methods in the absence of cells, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding the same, the absence of working examples directed to the same, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the unpredictability of biological molecules and physiological processes, and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite structural limitations for a $\Delta TR\alpha 2$ polypeptide, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,591

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0874. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ECK

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8